Appendix 1

to Regulation No. 26/2021 of the Rector of Lodz University of Technology  
of 12 May 2021

amending Regulation No. 35/2019 of the Rector of Lodz University of Technology  
of June 11, 2019 on establishing the Regulations  
on benefits for students of Lodz University of Technology

Appendix No. 2

to the Regulations on benefits for students of Lodz University of Technology

**Scoring and catalogue of achievements used in evaluating**

**applications for Rector's scholarship for doctoral students**

**1. Grade average** - the number of points corresponds to a given average, e.g. average 4.85 - 4.85 points

**2. Scientific and artistic achievements**

**General provisions**

A doctoral student may receive ranking points for a maximum of five achievements in categories 2.1a - 2.1f or 2.2. Within one scholarship application, a doctoral student may submit a total of six achievements in categories 2.1a - 2.1f or 2.2, marking one of them as reserve in case any of the other achievements is not qualified. Additionally, each doctoral student will receive points for achievements in the 2.1g category (number of citations). The total number of points for the ranking is equal to the sum of points corresponding to a maximum of five qualified achievements in categories 2.1a - 2.1f or 2.2 and points obtained in category 2.1g.

In order to confirm a given achievement, all documents listed as confirmation in point 5 - Notes and additional information must be provided. Each doctoral student's achievement must be accompanied by an attachment, the number of which corresponds to the number of the achievement on the scholarship ranking form. In the absence of any of the documents, doctoral students are required to attach an appropriate explanation to their application. If the achievement is not documented, it will not be included in the ranking.

Unless otherwise stated, a doctoral student may receive points only once for the achievements covering more than one academic year.

**2.1 Scientific achievements**

**a.** Books, papers and scientific publications

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **No.** | **Type of publication** | **PhD student participation** | **number of points** |
| **1** | Book published by a publishing house from the current list of scientific publications | One PhD student in the top four authors | LPM ed |
| More than one PhD student in the top four authors | Highest PhD student on the list: LPM ed  Other PhD students: 0.25 • LPM ed.  Possible alternative way of dividing the points - see 5. Explanations - 2.1.a.1 |
| PhD student outside the top four authors | 0.25 • LPM ed. |
| **2** | A chapter in a book published by a publishing house from the current list of scientific publications | One PhD student in the top four authors | 0.25 • LPM ed |
| More than one PhD student in the top four authors | Highest PhD student on the list: 0.25 • LPM ed  Other PhD students: 0.0625 • LPM ed.  Possible alternative way of dividing the points - see 5. Explanations - 2.1.a.2 |
| PhD student outside the top four authors | 0.0625 • LPM ed |
| **3** | A book or a chapter in a book published by a publishing house outside the current list of scientific publications | One PhD student in the top four authors | 10 points |
| More than one PhD student in the top four authors | Highest PhD student on the list: 10 points  Other PhD students: 0 points  Possible alternative way of dividing the points - see 5. Explanations - 2.1.a.3 |
| **4** | Publication in a journal from the current list of scientific journals | One PhD student in the top four authors | 0.5 • LPM time. |
| More than one PhD student in the top four authors | Highest PhD student on the list: 0.5 • LPM time.  Other PhD students: 0.1 • LPM time.  Possible alternative way of dividing the points - see 5. Explanations - 2.1.a.4 |
| PhD student outside the top four authors | 0.1 • LPM time. |
| **5** | Publication in a journal outside the current list of scientific journals with Impact Factor - IF | One PhD student in the top four authors | • IF |
| More than one PhD student in the top four authors | Highest PhD student on the list: • IF  Other PhD students: 0 points  Possible alternative way of dividing the points - see 5. Explanations - 2.1.a.5 |
| **6** | A paper in the post-conference materials issued as part of a conference covered by the current list of reviewed materials from scientific conferences | One PhD student in the top four authors | 0.5 • LPM conf. |
| More than one PhD student in the top four authors | Highest PhD student on the list: 0.5 • LPM time.  Other PhD students: 0.1 • LPM time.  Possible alternative way of dividing the points - see 5. Explanations - 2.1.a.6 |
| PhD student outside the top four authors | 0.1 • LPM conf. |

**b. Participation in conferences / symposia / seminars**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **The scope of the event** | **Type of participation of the doctoral student** | **number of points** |
| **1** | National | Poster presentation | 5 pts |
| Oral presentation | 10 points |
| **2** | International | Poster presentation | 10 points |
| Oral presentation | 20 points |

**c. Patents and protection rights**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Type of achievement** | **PhD student participation** | **number of points** |
| **1** | Polish patent application | Not applicable | 5 pts |
| **2** | Obtaining a Polish patent | PhD student percentage - U% | 100pt • U% |
| **3** | International patent application | Not applicable | 10 points |
| **4** | Obtaining an international patent | PhD student percentage - U% | 200 points • U% |
| **5** | Obtaining a protection right for a utility model | Not applicable | 30 points |
| **6** | Structure / sequence deposition in scientific databases | 1 / number of authors = U | 10 points • U |

**d. Grants and subsidies obtained through competition**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **The scope of the competition** | **PhD student participation** | **number of points** |
| **1** | International | Manager | 200 points |
| Researcher | 40 points |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **2** | National | Manager | 100 points |
| Researcher | 30 points |
| **4** | Regional | Manager | 40 points |
| Researcher | 10 points |
| **5** | University | Manager | 15 points |
| Researcher | 5 pts |
| **6** | Faculty | Manager | 5 pts |
| Researcher | 2 points |

**e. Other prizes in competitions**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **The scope of the competition** | **PhD student participation** | **number of points** |
| **1** | International | Individual | 60 points |
| Team member: 1 / number of team members = U | 120 points • U |
| **2** | Nationwide | Individual | 30 points |
| Team member: 1 / number of team members = U | 60 points • U |
| **4** | Regional | Individual | 15 points |
| Team member: 1 / number of team members = U | 30 points • U |

**f. Scientific and industrial internships and training**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Type of internship / training** | **Duration** | **number of points** |
| **1** | Foreign | 28 days and more | 40 points |
| Less than 28 days: Number of days = D | 40 points • D / 28 |
| **2** | National | 28 days and more | 20 points |
| Less than 28 days: Number of days = D | 20 points • D / 28 |

**g. Citations**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Source** | **measure** | **number of points** |
| **1** | SCOPUS database | Number of citations = C. | 1. point • C |

**2.2 Artistic achievements related to the scientific discipline of the doctoral studies.** The category is applicable only to PhD students of Faculty of Civil Engineering, Architecture and Environmental Engineering and Faculty of Material Technologies and Textile Design

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **The scope of the event** | **number of points** |
| **1** | National | 5 pts |
| **2** | International | 10 points |

**3. Involvement in didactic work**

The number of points shall be equal to the total result of the most recent lesson observation conducted by a doctoral student in accordance with the rules on the implementation of teaching assignments as part of obligatory professional practice in doctoral programmes as specified by the Vice-Rector for Student Affairs.

**4. Total points for the ranking.**

The total number of points for the ranking is the sum of the points obtained in the following categories: Average grades, Scientific and artistic achievements and Commitment to didactic work.

**5. Explanations and additional information**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Category** | **Information and explanations** |
| **2.1.a.1,2,3** | **General information.**  Book - A compact publication or an e-book with an ISBN number with scientific content. Teaching aids, manuals, scripts and books of abstracts are not awarded points.  Date of obtaining: the date of publication of the book is considered to be the date of achievement.  **LPM ed.** - The number of points assigned to the publishing house in the list of publishing houses publishing reviewed scientific monographs, published by the minister responsible for science, valid on the day of obtaining the achievement.  **Confirmation:**  Copy of the pages containing the book / chapter title, authors' first and last names, year and month of publication, ISBN and table of contents (in the case of a chapter). In the absence of pages containing the month of publication, a confirmation from the editor in which the month is indicated should be provided.  Additionally:  1. in the case of the list of authors not arranged in alphabetical order and choosing variant II (2nd) of the allocation of points: submitting a written request signed by all doctoral students of the given doctoral programme who are co-authors of the publication to divide the points equally among the doctoral students from the first four authors;  2. in case of the list of authors in alphabetical order and choosing variant II (2nd) of the allocation of points: providing a list of authors in order of their contribution to the work, confirmed in writing by all co-authors who are affiliated with Lodz University of Technology. |
| **2.1.a.1** | **Book published by a publishing house from the current list of scientific publications.**  **Assignment of points.**  **A.** List of authors in non-alphabetical order.  If there are two or more doctoral students of a given doctoral program among the first four authors, two variants of assigning credits to these doctoral students are possible:  I. (1st) Basic (used by default) - the doctoral student highest on the list receives the number of points  = LPM ed  - the remaining PhD students from the first four authors receive the number of points = 0.25 • LPM ed  - other PhD students, not included in the first four authors, receive the number of points = 0.25 • LPM ed  II. (2nd) Alternative (its selection must be decided by all doctoral students of the given doctoral studies who are co-authors of the publication) - each doctoral student from the first four authors receives a number of points = LPM ed divided by the number of doctoral students in the top four authors. The choice of this option requires the submission by all doctoral students of the given doctoral studies who are co-authors of the publication of a consistent written application for an equal distribution of points between the doctoral students from the first four authors.  **B.** List of authors in alphabetical order.  It is required to provide a list of authors ordered according to their contribution to the preparation of the work, confirmed in writing by all co-authors affiliated with Lodz University of Technology. For such an ordered list, the assignment of points to the doctoral student is possible according to the variants defined in point A above. |
| **2.1.a.2** | **A chapter in a book published by a publishing house from the current list of scientific publications.**  **Assignment of points.**  **A. List of authors in non-alphabetical order.**  If there are two or more doctoral students of a given doctoral program among the first four authors, two variants of assigning credits to these doctoral students are possible:  I. (1st) Basic (used by default) - the doctoral student on the top of the list receives the number of points = 0.25 • LPM ed  - the remaining PhD students from the first four authors receive the number of points = 0.0625 • LPM ed  - other PhD students, not included in the first four authors, receive the number of points = 0.0625 • LPM ed  II. (2nd) Alternative (its selection must be decided by all doctoral students of the given doctoral studies who are co-authors of the publication) - each doctoral student from the first four authors receives a number of points = 0.25 • LPM ed divided by the number of doctoral students in the top four authors. The choice of this option requires the submission by all doctoral students of the given doctoral studies who are co-authors of the publication of a consistent written application for an equal distribution of points between the doctoral students from the first four authors.  **B. List of authors in alphabetical order.**  It is required to provide a list of authors ordered according to their contribution to the preparation of the work, confirmed in writing by all co-authors affiliated with Lodz University of Technology. For such an ordered list, the assignment of points to the doctoral student is possible according to the variants defined in point A above. |
| **2.1.a.3** | **Books and book chapters published by publishers not included in the current list of scientific publishers.**  **Assignment of points.**  **A. List of authors in non-alphabetical order.**  If there are two or more doctoral students of a given doctoral program among the first four authors, two variants of assigning credits to these doctoral students are possible:  I. (1st) Basic (used by default)  - a doctoral student on the list receives the number of points = 10 points.  - other doctoral students from the first four authors receive the number of points = 0 points.  II. (2nd) Alternative - each doctoral student from the first four authors receives the number of points = 10 points divided by the number of doctoral students in the first four authors. The choice of this option requires the submission by all doctoral students of the given doctoral studies co-authors of the publication of a consistent written application for an equal distribution of points between the doctoral students from the first four authors.  **B. List of authors in alphabetical order.**  It is required to provide a list of authors ordered according to their contribution to the preparation of the work, confirmed in writing by all co-authors affiliated with Lodz University of Technology. For such an ordered list, the assignment of points to the doctoral student is possible according to the variants defined in point A above. |
| **2.1.a.4.5** | **General information.**  **Journal from the current list of scientific journals** - a journal included in the list of scientific journals and reviewed materials from scientific conferences, valid on the day of obtaining the achievement, announced by the minister responsible for science.  **Journal not included in the current list of scientific journals** - a journal that is not included in the list of scientific journals and reviewed materials from scientific conferences in force on the day of obtaining the achievement, announced by the minister responsible for science. on the above-mentioned list, but with the current one according to the JCR Impact Factor (IF) inventory.  **Date of obtaining**: the date of publication is the date of achievement.  **LPM time**. - Number of points assigned to the journal in the list of scientific journals and reviewed materials from scientific conferences, as of the date of obtaining the achievement, announced by the minister responsible for science.  **Confirmation:**  Copy of the pages containing the title of the publication, names and surnames of the authors and the date of publication.  Additionally:  1. in the case of the list of authors not arranged in alphabetical order and choosing variant II (2nd) of the allocation of points: submitting a written request signed by all doctoral students of the given doctoral programme who are co-authors of the publication to divide the points equally among the doctoral students from the first four authors;  2. in case of the list of authors in alphabetical order and choosing variant II (2nd) of the allocation of points: providing a list of authors in order of their contribution to the work, confirmed in writing by all co-authors who are affiliated with Lodz University of Technology. |
| **2.1.a.4** | **Publication in a journal from the current list of scientific journals.**  **Assignment of points.**  **A. List of authors in non-alphabetical order.**  If there are two or more doctoral students of a given doctoral program among the first four authors, two variants of assigning credits to these doctoral students are possible:  I. (1st) Basic (used by default) - a PhD student on the list receives the number of points = 0.5 • LPM time  - the remaining PhD students from the first four authors receive the number of points = 0.1 • LPM time  - other PhD students, not including the first four authors, receive the number of points = 0.1 • LPM time  II. (2nd) Alternative (its selection must be decided by all doctoral students of the given doctoral studies who are co-authors of the publication) - each doctoral student from the first four authors receives a number of points = 0.5 • LPM time divided by the number of PhD students in the top four authors. The choice of this option requires the submission by all doctoral students of the given doctoral studies who are co-authors of the publication of a consistent written application for an equal distribution of points between the doctoral students from the first four authors.  **B. List of authors in alphabetical order.**  It is required to provide a list of authors ordered according to their contribution to the preparation of the work, confirmed in writing by all co-authors affiliated with Lodz University of Technology. For such an ordered list, the assignment of points to the doctoral student is possible according to the variants defined in point A above. |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.1.a. 5** | **Publications in journals outside the current list of scientific journals with Impact Factor - IF.**  **Assignment of points**  **A. List of authors in non-alphabetical order.**  If there are two or more doctoral students of a given doctoral program among the first four authors, two variants of assigning credits to these doctoral students are possible:  I. (1st) Basic (used by default) - the doctoral student highest on the list receives the number of points = 10 p. • F  - other doctoral students from the first four authors receive the number of points = 0 points.  - other PhD students from outside the first four authors receive the number of points = 0 points.  II. (2nd) Alternative (its selection must be decided by all doctoral students of the given doctoral studies who are co-authors of the publication) - each doctoral student from the first four authors receives a number of points = 10 points • IF divided by the number of doctoral students in the top four authors. The choice of this option requires the submission by all doctoral students of the given doctoral studies who are co-authors of the publication of a consistent written application for an equal distribution of points between the doctoral students from the first four authors.  **B. List of authors in alphabetical order.**  It is required to provide a list of authors ordered according to their contribution to the preparation of the work, confirmed in writing by all co-authors affiliated with Lodz University of Technology. For such an ordered list, the assignment of points to the doctoral student is possible according to the variants defined in point A above. |
| **2.1.a.6** | **A paper in the post-conference materials issued as part of a conference covered by the current list of reviewed materials from scientific conferences.**  **General information.**  Paper in post-conference materials - publication in the conference materials on the list of scientific journals and reviewed materials from scientific conferences announced by the minister responsible for science, valid on the day of obtaining the achievement.  **Date of obtaining**: the date of publication is the date of achievement.  **LPM conf**. - Number of points assigned to the conference in the list of scientific journals and reviewed materials from scientific conferences, as of the date of obtaining the achievement, announced by the minister responsible for science.  **Confirmation:**  Copy of the pages containing the title of the publication, names and surnames of the authors and the date of publication.  Additionally:  1. in the case of the list of authors not arranged in alphabetical order and choosing variant II (2nd) of the allocation of points: submitting a written request signed by all doctoral students of the given doctoral programme who are co-authors of the publication to divide the points equally among the doctoral students from the first four authors;  2. in case of the list of authors in alphabetical order and choosing variant II (2nd) of the allocation of points: providing a list of authors in order of their contribution to the work, confirmed in writing by all co-authors who are affiliated with Lodz University of Technology.  **Assignment of points**  **A. List of authors in non-alphabetical order.**  If there are two or more doctoral students of a given doctoral program among the first four authors, two variants of assigning credits to these doctoral students are possible:  I. (1st) Basic (used by default) - the doctoral student highest on the list receives the number of points  = 0.5 • LPM conf  - the remaining PhD students from the first four authors receive the number of points = 0.1 • LPM conf  - other PhD students from outside the first four authors receive points = 0.1 • LPM conf  II. (2nd) Alternative (its selection must be decided by all doctoral students of the given doctoral studies who are co-authors of the publication) - each doctoral student from the first four authors receives a number of points = 0.5 • LPM conf divided by the number of doctoral students in the top four authors. The choice of this option requires the submission by all doctoral students of the given doctoral studies who are co-authors of the publication of a consistent written application for an equal distribution of points between the doctoral students from the first four authors.  **B. List of authors in alphabetical order.**  It is required to provide a list of authors ordered according to their contribution to the preparation of the work, confirmed in writing by all co-authors affiliated with Lodz University of Technology. For such an ordered list, the assignment of points to the doctoral student is possible according to the variants defined in point A above. |
| **2.1.b** | **General information.**  The conference / symposium / seminar (hereinafter referred to as the conference) must be characterized by a scientific theme. The conference must be affiliated with a university, industry research unit or scientific society and be organized with the participation of a scientific committee or equivalent, composed in min. 75% of research or research and teaching staff at universities or industry research and development units with a minimum doctoral degree.  An international conference is understood as a conference organized outside the country or organized in Poland, in which at least 30% of active participants have affiliation with foreign scientific institutions (documents are required, which will clearly indicate the fact that the above-mentioned parameter of 30% has been fulfilled, e.g. a certificate from the conference organizer). The other conferences are considered national.  Conferences with a minimum regional (inter-university) scope are awarded points. Points are awarded only to the presenting person. Oral speaking must be at least 10 minutes long (as scheduled). Only one form of participation (poster or oral presentation) can be submitted from each conference. You can register for up to two conferences.  Date of obtaining: the date of the conference is considered to be the date of obtaining the achievement.  **Confirmation:**  **Poster** - certificate or a confirmation from the organizer about the participation in the conference (or a photocopy of the badge, if it includes the name of the conference and the name of the participant), confirmation that the conference has a scientific committee, a copy of pages from the conference materials containing the date and name of the conference, abstract of the poster.  **Oral presentation** - certificate or a confirmation from the organizer about the participation in the conference (or a scan of the badge, if it includes the name of the conference and the name of the participant), confirmation that the conference has a scientific committee, a copy of pages from the conference materials containing the date and name of the conference, the schedule of speeches indicating the time of the lecture and the abstract of the paper.  If there is no clear indication of the person presenting the conference in the conference materials, the co-authors' declaration is required. |
| **2.1.c.** | **General information.**  **Application and obtaining a patent:**  Only the achievements reported through the Intellectual Property Protection Section of Lodz University of Technology are awarded points.  Date of obtaining: the date of obtaining the achievement is the date of granting the patent by the Patent Office.  **Confirmation:**  A copy of the patent certificate/application/design with percentage breakdown, confirmation of TUL Intellectual Property Protection Section in the application.  **Depositions of structures/sequences in scientific databases:**  Structures accepted for inclusion in the scientific structured database (included in the Web of Science Core Collection) are awarded points.  **Date of obtaining:** the date of publication of the structure in the database is the date of achievement.  **Confirmation:**  Written confirmation of the structure acceptance in the database. |
| **2.1.d.** | **General information:**  Points are awarded for obtaining funding as a result of an open competition announced to the general public, based on the scientific achievements of the participant or the scientific value of the submitted project. The scope of the competition is defined on the basis of the funding source (in the case of international competitions) and the competition regulations. The criteria for participation are taken into account, i.e. competition for researchers from a given faculty - faculty range, competition for researchers TUL - university coverage, competition for researchers from institutions from the Lodz Voivodeship - regional coverage, competition for researchers from Polish institutions - national coverage. In the case of competitions divided into blocks / sections, the participation criterion for a given block / section is taken into account (e.g. a nationwide project carried out independently by various universities within these universities is treated as a university competition). The competition participant must be affiliated with Lodz University of Technology. Scholarship competitions are not awarded points. Mobility financed by a grant / project in which a doctoral student acts as a researcher is not awarded points. The basis for recognition of the achievement is an agreement between the doctoral student and the institution granting / implementing funding or the leading institution in a given project.  **Grants:**  A grant is understood as state funds (governmental, ministerial, etc.), received from state scientific institutions (NCN, NCBiR, FNP, universities, PAN, PIAP, etc.) or from European and international institutions (European Union, WHO, etc.) ) to carry out a specific research project.  International grants are understood as grants financed entirely by foreign institutions and grants awarded by domestic institutions in which one of the applicants has a foreign affiliation.  Date of obtaining: in the case of the manager - the duration of the grant should be provided in accordance with the grant agreement; for a researcher: period of researcher’s involvement in the grant implementation.  If the grant covers more than one academic year, the doctoral student may conditionally report this achievement in each academic year including his active participation in the grant. This possibility expires with the obtaining of an increase in the doctoral scholarship from the earmarked subsidy for co-financing pro-quality tasks or Rector's scholarship for doctoral students on the basis of an application containing this achievement.  **Confirmation:**  A copy of the first page of the civil law agreement between the doctoral student and the institution awarding / implementing funding or the leading institution in a given project, copies of the parties to the civil law agreement specifying the scope of the doctoral student's obligations in the implementation of a given grant, a statement that the doctoral student has not previously obtained a scholarship by applying for a given grant.  **Subsidies:**  A subsidy is understood as funds for a doctoral student's trip to a conference, internship, training or other form of scientific activity related to the doctoral dissertation.  An international subsidy is understood to be a subsidy financed by foreign institutions.  The beneficiary of the subsidy is scored as the **Researcher**.  Date of obtaining: the date of obtaining the achievement is the date of the decision of the institution granting the grant.  **Confirmation:**  A copy of the first page of the civil law contract between the doctoral student and the awarding institution, a copy of the page of the competition regulations confirming the scope of the competition. |
| **2.1.e.** | **General information:**  Points are awarded for taking one of the first three places in competitions of a scientific nature or based on scientific activity, or related to the field of doctorate outside the category 2.1d. The competition must have regulations. The scope of the competition is defined the same as in category 2.1d. Awards are not awarded points. Competitions organized as part of conferences and scholarship contests are not awarded points.  Date of obtaining: the date of awarding the achievement is the date of the competition.  **Confirmation:**  Competition regulations, a copy of the diploma or certificate with a clear indication of the place obtained in the competition, in the case of achieving a team, a document confirming the composition / size of the team. |
| **2.1.f.** | **General information:**  Internship / apprenticeship (hereinafter referred to as the internship) called paid or unpaid work in a research centre or enterprise.  Training is any form of improving qualifications related to the research activity of a doctoral student (excluding language competences and full-time and postgraduate studies).  Internships and trainings must be closely related to the research activity of the doctoral student. An internship / training abroad is understood as an internship / training taking place outside Poland.  Date of obtaining: the date of completion of the internship is considered to be the date of achievement.  **Confirmation:**  - Certificate of completion of the internship / training containing the name and surname of the doctoral student, place and date of the internship / training, duration or hours  - A copy of the document on cooperation between the company and the research unit of Lodz University of Technology (in the case of an internship in an enterprise),  - in the case of an internship - a certificate specifying the scope of the work carried out by the doctoral student  - in the case of training - a declaration of the doctoral student's tutor confirming the fact that the training is related to the field of doctoral degree or the doctoral student's scientific activity,  - for online training - confirmation of the hourly amount of training  **Points:**  Points are awarded for internships or trainings at Polish and foreign universities, research centres and enterprises. Internet training courses are scored as Polish training.  The maximum number of points is awarded for an internship or training course of a minimum duration of 28 calendar days. In the case of internships and training courses shorter than 28 days, the number of points is calculated proportionally to the number of days completed. In the case of online training, the following conversion rate applies: every 8 hours of training = 1 day (rounded to the lowest integer). |
| **2.1.g.** | **General information:**  Total number of citations for affiliated publications according to Scopus (without self-citations)  Period: full calendar years covering the academic year being assessed in the ranking (2 calendar years).  **Confirmation:**  A printout from the Scopus website with self-citations turned off as at the date of submission of the application |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **2.2.** | **General information:**  In this category, points are awarded for active participation in exhibitions of artistic projects thematically related to the discipline of the doctoral studies (e.g. architectural projects in the discipline of architecture and urban planning, textile projects in the discipline of materials engineering). The organizer of the exhibition must be a university, industry research and development unit, scientific society, art gallery or museum.  An international exhibition is understood as an exhibition organized outside the country or organized in Poland, in which at least 30% of active participants have foreign affiliation (documents are required, which will clearly indicate the fact that the above-mentioned parameter has been filled in for 30%, e.g. a certificate from the organizer exhibitions). Other exhibitions are considered national.  Points are awarded for exhibitions with a minimum regional (inter-university) range.  You can register for up to two exhibitions.  Date of obtaining: the date of the exhibition opening is considered to be the date of obtaining the achievement.  **Confirmation:**  - Exhibition catalogue or declaration of the organizer / curator of the gallery or museum about the active participation of the doctoral student in the exhibition,  - the supervisor’s declaration on the relationship between the reported artistic achievement and the discipline of doctoral studies,  - a document confirming the fulfilment of the condition of having at least 30% of active participants of foreign affiliation. |