

**Seed Programme**  
**SP1 - Blended mobility to create**  
**networks**

**Individual Evaluation Form**

**Scoring**

- 1. — **Poor.** The criterion is inadequately addressed, or there are serious inherent weaknesses.
- 2. — **Fair.** The proposal broadly addresses the criterion, but there are significant weaknesses.
- 3. — **Good.** The proposal addresses the criterion well, but a number of shortcomings are present.
- 4. — **Very Good.** The proposal addresses the criterion very well, but a small number of shortcomings are present.
- 5. — **Excellent.** The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion. Any shortcomings are minor.

**Thresholds & weighting**

The final score will be calculated as the sum of the individual assessments provided by the Evaluators. The default threshold for individual criteria (Excellence, Impact and Implementation) is 3. The default overall threshold (the weighted sum of the three individual scores) is 12.

<p><b>1. Excellence</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Quality and credibility of the proposed workplan; including inter/multidisciplinary, intersectoral, engagement of citizens, civil society and end users, gender and ethics aspects</li> <li>• Quality and appropriateness of knowledge sharing among the participating organisations, including applicable IPR aspects</li> </ul> <p><u>Comments:</u></p>	<p><b>Score 1:</b> Threshold 3/5 Weighting: 30%</p>
--	---

<p><b>2. Impact</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Developing new and lasting research collaborations between participating organisations and contribution to improving research and innovation potential at ECIU University</li> <li>• Quality of the proposed measures to disseminate and communicate the results of the action and to promote the recognition and impact of the ECIU University</li> </ul> <p><u>Comments:</u></p>	<p><b>Score 2:</b>  <i>Threshold</i>            3/5  <i>Weighting:</i>            40%</p>
---	---

<p><b>3. Implementation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Appropriateness of the management procedures, including risk management and coherence of the budget plan</li> <li>•</li> <li>• Appropriateness of the institutional environment (hosting arrangements, infrastructure)</li> </ul> <p><u>Comments:</u></p>	<p><b>Score 3:</b>  <i>Threshold</i>            3/5  <i>Weighting:</i>            30%</p>
--	---

<p><b>Total score</b>  <i>Threshold 12/15</i></p>
---